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Abstract

Long-term prognosis of chronic hemodialysis patients is affected by dialysis adequacy; thus, evaluation of dialysis adequacy plays a key role in assessment of healthcare system in all countries. Currently, urea reduction ratio (URR) and Kt/V are applied to evaluate dialysis adequacy; however, due to the inconsistency between their results and patient outcome, their application has been questioned. Herein, we aimed at broadly reviewing the shortcomings of Kt/V index for appraisal of dialysis adequacy. For this purpose, we searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, Science direct, Cochrane Library, Scopus, and Google Scholar for relevant literature without any time or language limitations from May 2016 to February 2017. The applied keywords were “dialysis adequacy” OR “dialysis sufficiency” OR “dialysis competence” OR “dialysis efficiency”. We summarized all the studies questioning the success of Kt/V index in appraisal of dialysis adequacy to investigate whether Kt/V is still an appropriate index for evaluation of adequacy of different types of dialysis. The results of this study revealed that Kt/V is not the best criterion and one cannot be assured of dialysis adequacy solely based on this criterion.
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1. Context

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a progressive and irreversible malfunction with various systemic adverse effects (1). End stage renal disease (ESRD) is a condition in which the patient requires dialysis or renal transplant to survive. Annually, thousands of people die of ESRD (2). Change in parameters associated with lifestyle, such as hypertension and diabetes, can explain the rising incidence rate of this disease (3). The prevalence of this disease is on a growing trend in the developed and developing countries (4). According to the national health organization of America reports, the prevalence rate of this debilitating disease has increased by 600% during 1980 and 2009 (5).

In Iran, prevalence and incidence of ESRD is also on the rise (6), so prevalence and incidence of ESRD, with an increase of 460 times, have risen from 137 per 1,000,000 cases in 1997 to 238 cases in 2000 and to 436 cases in 2007 (7, 8). Based on a report by the management center for transplantation and special diseases, approximately 33,000 patients received alternative therapies for kidney during 2007 (8, 9).

Hemodialysis replaces some of the renal functions in ESRD patients to remove waste products and extra fluids from the blood when the kidneys cannot function adequately (10, 11). Thus, with higher dialysis adequacy, the patient would have a better health status and would suffer less from adverse effects of renal failure (12). Long-term prognosis of hemodialysis patients is affected by dialysis adequacy (13). Dialysis adequacy is considered a predictor of patient mortality and primary outcomes (14). The patients undergoing dialysis with high adequacy live as long as those receiving kidney transplant (15, 16).

The Kt/V index, as a criterion for investigation of dialysis adequacy, was developed based on urea kinetics in early 1980s (17) and has been used as the only evaluation method (18).

Results of several studies during the last 20 years, reported lack of significant improvement in dialysis outcomes, and the rates of mortality and hospital admissions in hemodialysis patients (19). Numerous questions are asked in this regard, for instance, given the state-of-the-art alternative strategies, is Kt/V still an appropriate index for evaluation of adequacy of different types of modern dialysis? Do we have a thorough perception of dialysis adequacy? What are the possible problems or mistakes that can deviate our understanding of Kt/V? Accordingly, the status of dialysis patients in dialysis adequacy is a key factor in evaluation of healthcare systems and can help healthcare authorities to develop effective plans, promote quality of life, and lower healthcare costs, and the rates of morbidity and mortality in dialysis patients (20). This study broadly reviews the shortcomings of Kt/V index to evaluate dialysis adequacy and summarize the drawbacks attributed to Kt/V formula that impede accurate evaluation of dialysis adequacy to investigate whether Kt/V is still
an appropriate index for evaluation of adequacy of different types of dialysis.

1.1. Review Strategy

In this study, we searched MEDLINE, EMBASE, Science direct, Cochrane Library, Scopus, and Google Scholar for relevant literature, without any time or language limitations from May 2016 to February 2017. The applied keywords were “dialysis adequacy” OR “dialysis sufficiency” OR “dialysis competence” OR “dialysis efficiency”. All the studies that questioned the success of Kt/V index in appraising dialysis adequacy were included to investigate whether Kt/V is still an appropriate index in evaluating adequacy of different types of dialysis. All the retrieved papers were screened for evidence on success of Kt/V index in appraising dialysis adequacy.

1.2. Ethical Approval

Prior ethical approval was obtained from the institutional ethical committee at Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

2. Results

2.1. The Negative Points of Kt/V as a Marker of Dialysis Adequacy

- In Kt/V, urea is considered the most important waste product distributed in a homogenous volume in the body (21). Nonetheless, since the 1960s, it has been known that several uremic toxins have greater molecular weight than urea and that urea distribution volume is not homogenous, such that the level of blood urea significantly increases in post-dialytic urea rebound. Besides, individuals are essentially different in post-dialytic urea rebound, and neglecting these differences causes substantial errors (22).

- There is a broad spectrum of factors affecting urea accumulation and removal, which can highly influence the accuracy of Kt/V. Although the actual adequacy of dialysis might remain unchanged, several factors can increase Kt/V after a dialysis session through changing the blood urea level at the end of dialysis including increased frequency or duration of dialysis, use of filters with high ultrafiltration index, high volume of blood entering the dialyzer, and enhancing the level of fluids flowing through the dialysis using such mechanisms as increasing ultrafiltration and urea diffusion through semipermeable membranes (23, 24). These factors, which can increase Kt/V, cannot be used in all dialysis sessions. Given the limited number of dialysis machines and low tolerance of patients, dialysis can rarely be performed more than 3 times a week or 4 hours a day (25).

- The use of filters with high ultrafiltration index can promote adequacy of dialysis; however, it is not possible in all dialysis sessions and for all patients, as it cannot be tolerated by patients and it is not cost-effective. Promoting the level of blood entering the dialyzer is not feasible due to the type of vascular access and other factors, such as hypotension, muscular cramp, and in turn, patients’ intolerance (26). On the other hand, raising the level of fluids flowing through the dialyzer increases the use of water in dialysis, and considering the effect of this issue on the removal of a sufficient amount of the built-up fluids, it cannot be applied in every dialysis session (17). Consequently, taking the Kt/V promoting measures, such as increasing the fluid flow of dialyzer (27) and duration and frequency of hemodialysis more than once or twice a month, is not feasible.

- Former studies demonstrated that there are other uremic toxins than urea that are influential in uremic syndrome and have various behaviours in dialysis sessions depending on their size, weight, charge, distribution volume, and bonding with proteins. Thus, urea production and removal alone cannot exhibit all the spectrum of uremic toxicities (28). Furthermore, urea kinetics in dialysis is not similar to kinetics of numerous small solutes built up in uraemia (29), whereas Kt/V only employs the level of urea clearance for evaluation of dialysis adequacy (30).

- The recent studies suggest that body surface area should be taken into account in all mathematical models of adequacy, which indicates that few female and paediatric patients require increasing the dialysis dose to improve their outcomes; however, this factor has not been considered in the Kt/V formula (32).

- The results of previous studies demonstrated that mortality of ESRD patients, as an associated factor with dialysis dose and duration, is linked with gender and ethnicity. Some other studies, considering the effect of body fat and muscle percentage on dialysis adequacy, proposed that the admissible Kt/V should be different for males and females.

These studies determined the accepted Kt/V for men and women to be 1.25 and 1.65, respectively. Despite the fact that the effect of gender, ethnicity, and BMI on the accuracy of dialysis adequacy has been confirmed, the Kt/V formula has become confusing as gender and variation in each size correction factor can influence its accuracy (30).
Review of the related literature illustrated that we do not have in-depth information on dialysis adequacy yet. Dialysis adequacy is concept rather than a number (35); thus, the main drawback of Kt/V is the use of limited data for measuring a concept that can be affected by multiple factors (36). This type of concept cannot be gauged based on serial evaluation of the blood urea or investigation of the amount of removal of urea and the built up fluids in the blood (37). Perhaps, this is why higher dialysis frequency, using filters with high ultrafiltration index after reduction of Kt/V, has not been able to improve the clinical outcomes of hemodialysis patients (24).

An efficient dialysis, which can influence mortality and short- or long-term morbidity of dialysis patients, comprises of a wide range of characteristics (38). In other words, the dialysis adequacy algorithm includes subjective and objective aspects, and an efficient dialysis leads to a subjective feeling of well-being (29).

The multidimensional concept of hemodialysis adequacy (39) consists of objective and subjective dimensions (40). The objective aspect is associated with indices that are able to assess the physiological dimensions of dialysis adequacy using diverse tools. Kt/V, being an objective index, can gauge dialysis adequacy from this aspect. The subjective aspect cannot depend on blood and other biological fluids’ clearance from a waste product and calculation of a laboratory parameter similar to a static quantity. Nevertheless, dialysis adequacy should be based on investigation of characteristics of each patient and focus on indices such as the time of dialysis, or the type of dialysis membranes, regardless of the characteristics of individuals, which leads to neglecting the subjective aspects of dialysis adequacy (41).

In fact, the subjective dimension of dialysis adequacy is based on the consistency between the admissible outcomes for the patient and the manageable costs and efforts (42). Patients’ perception and experience of an efficient dialysis, subjective perception of the physical and spiritual- psychological signs of adequate dialysis, and patients’ expectations and feelings about their health status during the dialysis procedure are all associated with subjective aspect of dialysis adequacy (43). On the other hand, the role of patients’ problems and disorders, the admissible outcomes for the patients, the bearable costs and efforts, and the financial problems they face cannot be taken for granted (34). Thus, a comprehensive evaluation of dialysis adequacy requires a method that can take both subjective and objective aspects into account.

3. Discussion

The results of this study revealed that Kt/V is not the best criterion, and one cannot be assured of dialysis adequacy solely based on this criterion.

Considering that adequacy of dialysis is a concept associated with healthcare system, special attention has been paid globally to its significance for hemodialysis patients.

3.1. Conclusions

Although indices, such as Kt/V and URR, are currently the only methods for investigation of dialysis adequacy
around the globe and their application is beneficial for preventing low dialysis adequacy, these objective markers are not the best criteria, and one cannot be assured of dialysis adequacy solely based on these criteria.

3.2. Implication

The magical formula for determining dialysis adequacy is still open to question, and further studies should be conducted to investigate all aspects of dialysis adequacy and find the best tool for evaluating all aspect of dialysis adequacy.
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Table 1. Kt/V Critisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article Type</th>
<th>Publication Year</th>
<th>Negative Points</th>
<th>First Author</th>
<th>Reference Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>- Urea is not the most important waste product, but several urmic toxins have greater molecular weight than urea.</td>
<td>Jeroen P</td>
<td>(21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Urea distribution volume is not homogeneous.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>- Individuals are essentially different in post-dialytic urea rebound because level of blood urea significantly increases in post-dialytic urea rebound.</td>
<td>Toomson R</td>
<td>(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>- Although the actual adequacy of dialysis might remain unchanged, several factors can only increase Kt/V after a dialysis session.</td>
<td>Kim O</td>
<td>(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthematic review</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>- Many factors could increase Kt/V after a dialysis session.</td>
<td>Barregad H</td>
<td>(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Advances in dialysis technologies and methods, which might affect dialysis outcomes and adequacy, are not considered in this formula.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- There is not any consistency between Kt/V and most of the dialysis outcome determinants.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- There is no difference in calculations of Kt/V formula between different people with diverse body compositions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The urea kinetic modelling has neglected the technical aspects of dialysis including ultrafiltration variations and the effect of filters with large pores and convection on the complete removal of small solutes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>- Factors, which can increase Kt/V, cannot be used in all dialysis sessions.</td>
<td>Cigarran S</td>
<td>(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>- The use of filters with high ultrafiltration index can promote adequacy of dialysis; however, it is not possible in all dialysis sessions and for all patients, as it cannot be tolerated by patients, and it is not cost-effective.</td>
<td>Hauk M</td>
<td>(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional study</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>- Taking the Kt/V promoting measures is not feasible more than once or twice a month.</td>
<td>Nafar M</td>
<td>(27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>- Urea production and removal alone cannot exhibit all the spectrum of uramic toxins.</td>
<td>Mohita A.N</td>
<td>(28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>- Urea kinetics in dialysis is not similar to kinetics of numerous small solutes built up in uraemia.</td>
<td>Vasikohler R</td>
<td>(29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governmental Announcement</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>- Factors such as gender, ethnicity, and BMI can impair the accuracy of dialysis adequacy; this factor has not been considered in the Kt/V formula.</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>- Stability of Kt/V level 1.2125 has caused the adequacy and inadequacy borderline to be close to each other.</td>
<td>Kuo C.C.</td>
<td>(31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Kt/V index is dependent on blood tests that are prone to false-high results.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Some studies, considering the effect of body fat and muscle percentage on dialysis adequacy, proposed that the admissible Kt/V should be different for males and females.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- There is not any relationship between area and the severity of uraemia symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- The recent studies suggest that body surface area should be taken into account in all mathematical models of adequacy; however, this factor has not been considered in the Kt/V formula.

**RCT** 2010
- However, hemodialysis patients should be updated about the details of their dialysis in a simple way without the use of medical terms. Kt/V formula calculation is difficult for dialysis patients.

**RCT** 2016
- Low urea reveals bad nutritional status and protein-energy malnutrition rather than sufficient urea removal through dialysis. Monitoring serial blood urea is not enough for evaluation of dialysis adequacy.